# Linear Regression and Calibration

The Sum of Squares Function

**Ordinary Least Squares** 

# Definition of the Sum of Squares Function

Start with a set of replicate values  $x_i$  and make a guess for the mean  $\mu$  of the distribution: *a*.

We can now compute the deviations (residual)  $\delta_i = x_i - a$ .

We take the squares and add them up: This produces the sum of squares

$$SS = \sum_{i} \delta_i^2 = \sum_{i} (x_i - a)^2$$

If our guess is poor then SS will be large. A good guess will give a small value of SS. By minimizing the SS function we will find the **least squares estimate** (LSE)for the average  $a_{LSE}$ . We can easily find the LSE value for *a* by setting the derivative d(SS)/d*a* =0

We find: 
$$\frac{dSS}{da} = \frac{d\sum_{i}(x_{i}-a)^{2}}{da} = -2\sum_{i}(x_{i}-a) = 0$$

### Definition of the mean

We can divide both sides by 2 to give:

$$-\sum_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i} a = 0$$
$$\sum_{i} x_{i} = na$$
$$a_{LSE} = \bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i} x_{i}}{n}$$

In other words the sample average (or mean) indeed minimizes the sum of squares. The median by contrast does not have this nice property.

### **Ordinary Least Squares**

Linear data are no longer pure replicates, because we vary the value of x. For linear data we guess the slope *b* and intercept *a*, calculate deviations and SS. To minimize SS we must now take **two** derivatives (dSS/d*a* and dSS/d*b*) and put them zero simultaneously. Matrix notation is a great help when dealing with this kind of problem. We can write the above model as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Or:

$$y = a + x \cdot b + \varepsilon$$

# **Ordinary Least Squares**

The **X** matrix records for what values of x we choose to take a measurement. We generally assume that there is no error in these *set points* or *independent* variables. **Y** contains the *dependent* variable, the *measured* values. The matrix  $\varepsilon$  contains the random errors that we assume to be a normal distribution. The matrix  $\beta$  contains the parameters we wish to estimate, the slope **b** and intercept *a* of our line. Finding the LSE for  $\beta$  can be done quite elegantly in matrix notation.

 $Y = X \cdot \beta$ 

# **Ordinary Least Squares**

Notice that the only unknowns left are in  $\beta$ . The X and Y matrices are known because they are either *set* or *measured*. Solving for  $\beta$  now requires some simple matrix algebra:

 $X^T Y = X^T X \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}$ 

 $(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{LSE}$ 

The **regression** formula minimizes the sum of squares for a great many different models: point, line, circle, parabola or polynomial. It is one of the most powerful equations in statistics. Let's first look at a simple straight line.

To construct the X matrix we take the derivative with respect to x of both of the variables in the equation for a line.

$$y = \frac{\partial}{\partial a}a + \frac{\partial}{\partial b}x \cdot b$$

### Simple linear least squares

Suppose there are *n* data points {( $x_i$ ,  $y_i$ ), i = 1, ..., n}. The function that describes *x* and *y* is:

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

The goal is to find the equation of the straight line  $y = \alpha + \beta x$ 

which would provide a "best" fit for the data points. In the linear least squares approach,  $\alpha$  (the *y*-intercept) and  $\beta$  (the slope) solve the following minimization problem:

#### Find min $Q(\alpha, \beta)$

which can be done using the least squares criterion by minimizing

$$Q(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \alpha - \beta x_{i})^{2}$$

#### Slope, intercept as least squares parameters

By expanding the quadratic expression in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and taking derivatives with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in order to minimize the objective function Q we find:

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x}) (y_i - \bar{y})}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

After some algebra

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - \bar{x}\bar{y}}{\overline{x^2} - \bar{x}^2} = \frac{Cov[x, y]}{Var[x, y]}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = r_{xy} \frac{s_y}{s_x}$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = y - \hat{\beta}x$$

# The difference between the model and the data

The calculated values using the regression model are called f

$$f = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x$$

The mean of the observed data is defined as:

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$

The criterion of a good fit will be to compare the difference between the actual data and the mean to the calculated model and the mean.

# Sums of squares formulas

The variability of the data set can be measured using three sum of squares (SS) formulas:

1. The total sum of squares (proportional to the variance of the data):

$$SS_{tot} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$$

2. The regression sum of squares:

$$SS_{reg} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_i - \bar{y})^2$$

3. The sum of squares of residuals:

$$SS_{res} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f_i - y_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2$$

### **Correlation coefficient**

The most general definition of the coefficient of determination is

