## Optical relaxation is negligible in NMR

For molecular absorption in the visible and ultraviolet the emission of light either by spontaneous (fluorescence) or stimulated (lasing) processes is an important mechanism for relaxation of the excited state. Neither of these mechanisms is important in NMR.

The Einstein relations tell us that the spontaneous emission Decreases as the third power of frequency. Thus, in the radioFrequency range spontaneous emission becomes truly tiny.

For an isolated dipole the rate of relaxation can be Calculated based on the Fermi Golden Rule:

$$
W=\frac{\mu_{0} \gamma^{2} \hbar \omega_{0}^{3}}{6 \pi c^{3}}
$$
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This rate is approximately $\mathrm{W}=10^{-21} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which is so small that we can neglect it.

Even stimulated emission is extremely small in NMR such that the mechanisms of relaxation are all non-radiative. The mechanisms of nuclear spin relaxation involve coupling of the spin with the surroundings, which can mean the environment (called the lattice for historical reasons) and other spins.

## The relaxation Hamiltonian

We can include the terms leading to relaxation conceptually In the Hamiltonian as follows.
We can represent the fluctuating terms in the Hamiltonian as $\mathrm{HI}_{\text {ocal }}(\mathrm{t})$ such that the total Hamiltonian is

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{z}+\mathcal{H}_{\text {local }}(t)
$$

The local can be decomposed into isotropic and anisotropic contributions

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text {local }}(t)=\mathcal{H}_{\text {local }}^{\text {iso }}(t)+\mathcal{H}_{\text {local }}^{\text {aniso }}(t)
$$

Finally the anisotropic contributions consist of both longitudinal and transverse terms

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text {local }}^{\text {aniso }}(t)=\mathcal{H}_{\text {long }}^{\text {aniso }}(t)+\mathcal{H}_{\text {trans }}^{\text {aniso }}(t)
$$

## The relationship between molecular rotation and the correlation time

Spontaneous relaxation of nuclear spin orientations is extremely slow. almost zero. $T_{1}$ relaxation is caused by transient magnetic fields caused by molecular motion. $T_{1}$ relaxation is optimal if molecular rotations also occur at the Larmour precession frequency. In non-viscous liquids molecular rotation frequencies are several orders of magnitude higher than $\omega_{0}$. Therefore, only a small fraction of the moleculars in the sample have the correct frequency To relax the spins. $T_{1}$ relaxation is very inefficient under these circumstances. For larger molecules and more viscous solutions molecular motions become slower and $T_{1}$ Becomes shorter.. At some point the average molecular motions become slower than $\omega_{0}$, and $T_{1}$ becomes longer again

## The correlation time

The trend for $T_{1}$ is shown in the Figure below. $T_{2}$ slows As the molecular rotations slow. Thus, larger molecules And more viscous solutions lead to smaller $T_{2}$ values.

http://www.chem.wisc.edu/areas/reich/nmr/08-tech-01-relax.htm

## Two-spin population diagram



## Rate equations for relaxation

The Solomon equations are the rate equations that describe the various populations of these states. For example, the aa population equation is:

$$
\frac{d P_{\alpha \alpha}}{d t}=-\left(W_{I}+W_{S}+W_{2}\right) P_{\alpha \alpha}+W_{I} P_{\beta \alpha}+W_{S} P_{\alpha \beta}+W_{2} P_{\beta \beta}+K
$$

where $P_{\gamma \delta}$ is the population of the spin state $\mid \gamma \delta>. \mathrm{K}$ is a constant chosen to ensure that the population returns to the equilibrium value $P_{\alpha \alpha}^{0}$. At equilibrium

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d P_{\alpha \alpha}}{d t}=0 \\
K=\left(W_{I}+W_{S}+W_{2}\right) P_{\alpha \alpha}^{0}-W_{I} P_{\beta \alpha}^{0}-W_{S} P_{\alpha \beta}^{0}-W_{2} P_{\beta \beta}^{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Rate equations in terms of population

Treating the difference in population relative to the equilibrium value as:

$$
\Delta P_{\gamma \delta}=P_{\gamma \delta}-P_{\gamma \delta}^{0}
$$

$$
\frac{d \Delta P_{\alpha \alpha}}{d t}=-\left(W_{I}+W_{S}+W_{2}\right) \Delta P_{\alpha \alpha}+W_{I} \Delta P_{\beta \alpha}+W_{S} \Delta P_{\alpha \beta}+W_{2} \Delta P_{\beta \beta}
$$

Similar equations can be written for the other three states:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \Delta P_{\alpha \beta}}{d t}=-\left(W_{I}+W_{S}+W_{0}\right) \Delta P_{\alpha \beta}+W_{0} \Delta P_{\beta \alpha}+W_{I} \Delta P_{\beta \beta}+W_{S} \Delta P_{\alpha \alpha} \\
& \frac{d \Delta P_{\beta \alpha}}{d t}=-\left(W_{I}+W_{S}+W_{0}\right) \Delta P_{\beta \alpha}+W_{0} \Delta P_{\alpha \beta}+W_{I} \Delta P_{\alpha \alpha}+W_{S} \Delta P_{\beta \beta} \\
& \frac{d \Delta P_{\beta \beta}}{d t}=-\left(W_{I}+W_{S}+W_{2}\right) \Delta P_{\beta \beta}+W_{I} \Delta P_{\alpha \beta}+W_{S} \Delta P_{\beta \alpha}+W_{2} \Delta P_{\alpha \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rate equations in terms of magnetization

These population equations can be cast in terms of the magnetization of a two-spin system as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \Delta I_{z}(t)}{d t}=-\left(W_{0}+2 W_{I}+W_{2}\right) \Delta I_{z}(t)-\left(W_{2}-W_{0}\right) \Delta S_{z}(t) \\
\frac{d \Delta S_{z}(t)}{d t}=-\left(W_{0}+2 W_{S}+W_{2}\right) \Delta S_{z}(t)-\left(W_{2}-W_{0}\right) \Delta I_{z}(t) \\
\Delta I_{z}(t)=\left\langle I_{z}\right\rangle(t)-\left\langle I_{z}^{0}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left\langle I_{z}^{0}\right\rangle$ is the equilbirium value of the $I_{z}$ operator.

## The Solomon equations

We can define the quantities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{I}=W_{0}+2 W_{I}+W_{2} \\
\rho_{S}=W_{0}+2 W_{S}+W_{2} \\
\sigma_{I S}=W_{2}-W_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $\rho_{I}$ and $\rho_{S}$ are the autorelaxations of spins I and S and $\sigma_{I S}$ is the cross relaxation. Using these definitions we can recast the magnetization equations so that it is evident that they comprise a pair of coupled first order differential equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \Delta I_{z}(t)}{d t}=-\rho_{I} \Delta I_{z}(t)-\sigma_{I S} \Delta S_{z}(t) \\
& \frac{d \Delta S_{z}(t)}{d t}=-\rho_{S} \Delta S_{z}(t)-\sigma_{I S} \Delta I_{z}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extension to N coupled spins

The Solomon equations can be extended to N non-interacting spins

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \Delta I_{k z}(t)}{d t}=-\rho_{k} \Delta I_{k z}(t)-\sum_{j \neq k} \sigma_{k j} \Delta S_{j z}(t) \\
\sum_{j} \rho_{j k}=\rho_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

The sum of the individual magnetizations gives the net magnetization vector equation.

$$
\frac{d \Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{z}}(t)}{d t}=-\boldsymbol{R} \Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{z}}(t)
$$

## Solution of the eigenvalue problem

In which R is a NxN matrix with elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{k k}=\rho_{k} \\
& R_{j k}=\sigma_{j k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{z}(t)$ is a column vector with dimension $\mathrm{Nx1}$ and elements $\Delta I_{k z}(t)$. The magnetization decays exponentially due both to the autorelaxation and cross relaxation terms, which gives rise to magnetization transfer.

$$
\Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{z}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-\boldsymbol{R} t} \Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{Z}(0)
$$

The solution can be expressed as a dimilarity transfer form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{z}}(t)=\boldsymbol{U}^{-\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{e}^{-\boldsymbol{D} t} \boldsymbol{U} \Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{z}}(0) \\
\boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{U}^{-\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{U}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solution of the eigenvalue problem

The matrices are given below and the evaluation of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{ \pm}$gives the provides the solution to the Solomon equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{U}^{\mathbf{1}} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{U} \\
\boldsymbol{R}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\rho_{I} & \sigma_{I S} \\
\sigma_{I S} & \rho_{S}
\end{array}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{D}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{+} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{-}
\end{array}\right] \\
\lambda_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\rho_{I}+\rho_{S}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\rho_{I}-\rho_{S}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma_{I S}^{2}}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## The similarity transform

The eigenvalues $\lambda_{ \pm}$are substituted back into the eigenvector equation to obtain the the U matrix.

$$
\boldsymbol{U}=\left[\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{-\sigma_{I S}}{\sqrt{\left(\rho_{I}-\lambda_{+}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma_{I S}^{2}}} & \frac{-\sigma_{I S}}{\sqrt{\left(\rho_{I}-\lambda_{-}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma_{I S}^{2}}} \\
\frac{\rho_{I}-\lambda_{+}}{\sqrt{\left(\rho_{I}-\lambda_{+}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma_{I S}^{2}}} \sigma_{I S} & \frac{\rho_{I}-\lambda_{-}}{\sqrt{\left(\rho_{I}-\lambda_{-}\right)^{2}+4 \sigma_{I S}^{2}}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Finally we write the equation for the time-dependent net magnetization relaxation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\Delta M_{I}(t) \\
\Delta M_{S}(t)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{I I}(t) & a_{I S}(t) \\
a_{S I}(t) & a_{S S}(t)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\Delta M_{I}(0) \\
\Delta M_{S}(0)
\end{array}\right]
$$

